





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/016,739	01/30/1998	D. MICHAEL GODWIN	1002-0537	7368	
7	03/01/2002				
BRADFORD G. ADDISON			EXAMINER		
MAGINOT, ADDISON & MOORE BANK ONE CENTER TOWER 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3000 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 462045130			UNDERWOOD	ERWOOD, DONALD W	
		00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
II ADIAMA OI	215, 111 402045150		3652		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NUMBER

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

34

Below is a communication from the *EXAMINER* in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:	
a) 🛛 will expire three months from the date	of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).
	ate of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, ne statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of
tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of d	a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate letermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. cloudated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply (b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.	192(a).
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filedto place the application in condition for allowance.	has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
$ ot\!$	fications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. M There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1. earlier presented. 	.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not
b. X They raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
 They are not deemed to place the application in to for appeal. 	better form for appeai by materially reducing or simplifying the issues
e. They present additional claims without cancelling	a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	claim 21 Comprises a new issue.
Newly proposed or amended claim amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed
will be as follows: AS PER FINA	
Claims objected to:	
However;	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration	on has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
-5:The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered beconsered.	cause applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ h	
	request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).

DONALD W. UNDERWOOL PRIMARY EXAMINER